Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/804,322	WANASEK, KEVIN A.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Deborah Malamud	3766
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Deborah Malamud</u> .	(3)	
(2) Michael Soldner.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 August 2006	Time: <u>5.00 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
17 and 24		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
The examiner pointed out an error on the status of the clain copy of the claims with updated status.	ns 17 and 24. The applicant's repre	sentative agreed to send a new
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ 	The examiner will provide a w	ritten summary of the substance
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	icant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)